

Trust ze Science – Part III

Diffusing knowledge



WILL ZOLL
DEC 21, 2023



65



9

Share

Part 2 concluded by showing that the entire US military apparatus had been completely corporatized. Whether it be weapons development or surveillance, the safety and security of the nation was now in the hands of large corporations whose methods and intellectual property is completely hidden from the people. The outsourcing of scientific research and bio-weapons development had truly provided the stepping-stones for the creation of a massive Military Industrial Complex.

To understand the origins of “*trusted science*”, this article will reveal an infiltration that occurred in the US over a century before the Nazi Paperclip scientists arrived.

To set the stage, we will provide a brief high-level summary of the patterns of Prussian infiltration.

A Brief History of Prussian Infiltration

[In Evolution of Slavery - Part 1](#), we showed how the Hanseatic League merchants infiltrated England in the mid-1600s, and how their merchant traders were perfectly positioned in London by the time William III of Orange took the throne in 1688. Soon after, the Bank of England arrived in 1694.

[In 1871 - Part 1](#) we provided intricate detail around the period leading up to the creation of the Bank of England. To summarize, the English Crown was drowning in debt, and had been terribly mismanaged by the House of Stuart. London’s Goldsmiths came to the aid of Charles II, after his father had been executed for treason. In 1676, Charles II defaulted again, and the powers-that-be were done. The goldsmiths were furious, and were determined to be paid back, However, it was evident they’d need a new

king. Across the English Channel, the merchant traders found their Protestant prince, and in 1688, William III of Orange marched onto English soil and carried out a bloodless coup. The formation of the ‘The Governor and the Company of the Bank of England’ was complex because the Goldsmiths were not interested in supporting further loans. To get around this problem, wealthy members of the public loaned money to the new bank, essentially becoming share-holders in a new joint-stock company.

Almost exactly one century later, this Bank of England model would find its way into the United States, with the private investors also retaining the controlling interest:



Continental Note, 1776 American Currency Exhibit, Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco

The First Bank of the United States: 1791-1811

The Constitution itself prohibited state governments from issuing their own currency. The Bank of the United States was conceived in 1790 to deal with the war debt and to put the government on sound financial footing. It was intended to help fund the government's debt and issue currency notes. Hamilton, then President George Washington's Treasury secretary, was the architect of the Bank, which he modeled after the Bank of England.

The Bank was to have start-up capital of \$10 million, financed by selling stock. This was quite a large sum at the time. The federal government would own \$2 million, giving it substantial control, with the remainder owned by private investors. In addition to the main office in Philadelphia, the Bank had eight branches, one in each of the nation's major cities.

1

The culture of this private banking cartel has long-since permeated into every facet of society; education, religion, technology, governments and corporate media.

Is it possible that the spirit of the Hanseatic merchants lives on, inside this new global empire?

Our “1871” series outlines exactly how this London-based banking cabal became consolidated in the US following the 1871 Treaty of Washington. A few years later, the Statue of Liberty was dedicated in 1886. The statue commemorated the abolition of slavery, but it also was seen as a welcoming symbol to immigrants arriving on the sea.

Was this statue a symbol of the new regime that had recently arrived into the US, from “over the sea”?

Is there a possibility that the English bankers covertly exercised their own liberty in the US, while subjecting the people to fees and taxes? Is it just a coincidence that the Statue of Liberty is standing on the water?



Whatever their motive at this time in history, shiny new money would play a significant part in kicking off this phase of the deception. Much like the Age of Enlightenment a century earlier, this was period a freedom, hope and prosperity. However, this invisible enemy had a problem. That pesky US Constitution means that the infiltration of the United States is never complete. Any embedded enemy must exert its control, while ensuring that the people never realize it is happening. This is an exhausting task to contemplate, and they’ve been at it for a long time!

The 1871 Treaty of Washington sabotaged America’s ability to declare their debt obligations to the British null and void. It was perhaps one of the most significant


events in American history, because those debt obligations were converted into capital that took the lion's share of prosperity during the American Industrial Revolution. A huge portion of America's industrial capital during this period was in the hands of globalist psychopaths, bent on ruling over humanity forever. In 1913, when the Prussian Central banking model was imposed over America, the globalist goal was almost complete. However, to continue to have the people agree to being ruled, there must be a high degree of trickery. This is often done with clever words and deceptive names, as we will show throughout the remainder of this series.

Since the 1871 Treaty was so successful, and became one of the founding precedents to international law and the United Nations, are there other treaties established around the globe that aim to deceive the people and achieve the globalists' desired end-state of planetary rule?

A Centralized Bioweapon Treaty:

We have previously postulated that a Peace Treaty is a Trade Agreement in disguise. With all we are learning about the deceptive tactics of this invisible enemy, could a Bioweapon Prevention Treaty also be facilitating some kind of Trade Agreement?

With the presence of bioweapons labs recently exposed in Ukraine, this 2005 Treaty is worth exploring as a case study:



When the title talks about the “prevention” of bioweapons, questions naturally emerge within any enquiring mind. The detail within this particular Agreement reveals its true intentions:

Article III

1. The total cost to the U.S. Department of Defense of all material, training and services provided pursuant to this Agreement and the associated expenses shall be up to fifteen (15) million U.S. dollars.

2. Assistance provided by the U.S. Department of Defense to the Ministry of Health of Ukraine under Article I, Paragraph 1 may include, but is not limited to, cooperative biological research, biological threat agent detection and response, and assistance for improving biological material protection, control and accountability in order to reduce the risk of theft or unauthorized use of dangerous pathogens located at the facilities in Ukraine referred to in Article I, Paragraph 1.

Article IV

4. The Ministry of Health of Ukraine or its designated agent shall consolidate and store all dangerous pathogens at secure centralized laboratories designated in writing by the Parties that have received or are receiving the U.S. Department of Defense assistance under this Agreement (hereinafter centralized laboratories). The U.S. Department of Defense may provide molecular diagnostics capabilities, improved electronic communications, and equipment for the safe and timely transport of field pathogen samples to the centralized laboratories.

5. In order to support the joint efforts of the Parties to prevent the proliferation of dangerous pathogens and related expertise and to minimize potential biological threats, the Ministry of Health of Ukraine shall transfer to the U.S. Department of Defense requested copies of dangerous pathogen strains collected in Ukraine for cooperative biological research in the centralized laboratories in Ukraine and in U.S. Department of Defense-designated laboratories in the United States for prophylactic, protective or other peaceful purposes.

3

So, let's summarize what is taking place in Ukraine under the protection of this so-called “Prevention” agreement:

- Firstly, there are dangerous pathogens in Ukraine, and all parties knew about this;

- These dangerous pathogens are to be stored in a centralized lab by the Ukraine government, OR a “*designated agent*”;
- The US Department of Defense is providing Ukraine funding, which “*may include, but is not limited to, cooperative biological research*”;
- Any dangerous pathogen strains which are discovered in Ukraine must also be sent to “*designated laboratories in the United States*”.

This agreement is pure insanity! What bio-activity, if any, is being *prevented* by this Treaty?

We acknowledge that the overarching aim of this Agreement says that it is for peace and protection, but is it possible that the title of this Treaty represents the *opposite* of what is taking place?

We closed Part 1 with Anthony Fauci saying, in 2002, how much he was learning from “*bio-weaponers*”. This was well after the 1977 Senate Committee were assured that CIA bioweapons research had ceased; a claim which Fauci has essentially debunked.

We are now compelled to ask: Did any of this scientific research stop at all? In fact, with the evidence emerging, you’d be forgiven for thinking it has probably expanded.

Whatever the case, Vladimir Putin seemed to believe that the bioweapons and pathogens in Ukraine presented a serious threat to his people. To be fair, none of the wording in this 2005 “Prevention” Treaty would have given Putin any comfort whatsoever. In fact, the agreement we’re featuring outlines protocols for the expansion of bio-weapons, and that they will be funded by the US government!

Putin believed there was a threat to Russia, and in October of 2022, he famously called out the Ukraine and US governments for developing biological weapons:

The U.S. publicly acknowledges its support for Ukrainian biolabs but notes that they were developed as part of the biological threat reduction program, which seeks to improve abilities to detect and report outbreaks of dangerous pathogens before they cause major threats.

Russia, however, began accusing the two countries of developing biological weapons in the early days of Russia's invasion.

4

The media fact-checkers were working overtime, saying that Putin was lying. However, people began to notice that the media refused to examine the evidence that he submitted to the UN Security Council; evidence that there were **operations taking place in laboratories in Kharkiv and Poltava:**

The UN security council met on Friday to discuss Moscow's claims the US is funding 'military biological activities' in Ukraine



📷 Russian ambassador to the UN, Vasily Nebenzya, accused Ukraine of operating chemical and biological labs with US support. Photograph: AP

5

This pesky “truth” that was emerging was aggressively opposed by the main stream media. The trusted ‘talking heads’ in the media asked the people to “*trust ze science*” while they aggressively pushed on with their “*Putin is evil and must be destroyed*” narrative.

No enquiry as to whether Putin might be telling the truth would be tolerated. The ‘public square’ of free speech had to be seized, and all “misinformation” had to be quietened. The Enlightened Despots were having none of this new enquiry by the free-thinking people.

Eventually, the United States Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs, Victoria Nuland, was forced to acknowledge the awkward truth about Putin’s claims, but this mistress of chicanery framed her response carefully, suggesting that these newly discovered bioweapons labs in Ukraine were just “*biological research facilities*”, and were really only dangerous because they could now fall into Russian hands (1:29 mins):

Ukraine Has Biological Research Facilities, Concerned Russian Forces M...



Does Victoria Nuland think we are all stupid? Well, the truth is that these Enlightened Despots truly do believe we are sub-human unless we are enlightened, as they claim to be.

Is “dark to light” a phrase that speaks of our transition away from the darkness that the Enlightened Despots suggest we live within?

Trust ze news:

In our ‘*The Ode to the Prussian Pickle*’ series, we demonstrated how scientific despots had introduced a depopulation agenda cleverly embedded within the climate change narrative. This was publicly launched at the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro. For details about the lead-up to the Earth Summit you can read our article, ‘[An Ode to the Prussian Pickle – Part 5](#)’. Following the 1992 Rio Summit, an enormous media narrative coalesced around this newly-created environmental context.

The information provided in our previously-referenced articles is foundational to the direction of this current series. The global public narrative back in 1992 was that science *can* be trusted. Over the last decade or so, we’ve seen this climate-change narrative gradually increase in ferocity:



Greta Thunberg U.N. speech: "You have stolen my dreams," climate activist tells U.N. Climate Summit - CBS News

Visit

Creator: Jason DeCrow | Credit: AP

However, during the Covid pandemic in 2020-2022, we witnessed this global narrative change gear again; almost to the point of violence (1:08):

Late Night Hosts 'Joke' About Letting Unvaccinated Americans Die



We were no longer being told the science *can* be trusted. The world was being told that the science *must* be trusted, or else!

Many were starting to see familiar patterns emerging around the propaganda we were being subjected to:



7

We all watched on as “*agreed science*” became intertwined with the global propaganda machine which seemed intent on stripping away two of the most-basic of human rights: The right to free thought; and the right to decide what goes into our own body.

Most of us were left asking ourselves – how on earth did we get here? How did science become so institutionalized; so powerful?

The Enlightened Despots, or globalists, knew that their messaging wasn’t working as it had previously, and they unleashed a world-wide re-education campaign:



Join us

Sign in

SCIENCE

COVID-19 has damaged public trust in science. Here's how to repair it

Nov 1, 2021



8


During the Covid-19 pandemic, another far more serious question arose: How did this “trusted science” narrative arrive at a point where it was able to successfully divide families?

Lifestyle > Christmas

LIFESTYLE FEATURES

How to deal with unvaccinated family members at Christmas

As omicron cases continue to surge across the UK, **Olivia Petter** explains how to navigate the festive period if you're spending it with unvaccinated family members



Thursday 16 December 2021 14:57



FAMILY

A Guide to Mixed-Vaccination-Status Holidays

We've broken down the chances of transmission at a gathering with one unvaccinated adult, multiple unvaccinated adults, and unvaccinated or semi-vaccinated kids.



11

Was the global media narrative around Covid-19 actually a carefully designed propaganda program to create family disputes, cause untold division and shame people into compliance?

Were the Scientific Industrial Complex and the Media Industrial Complex working together to enforce their globalist agenda?

Institutionalized Truth:

To explore these questions properly, we must go back into history. As we foreshadowed earlier, we will come back to “*trusted science*”, but before that we wish to identify one of the most significant infiltrations of the US that took place a century before Operation Paperclip.

One of the earliest scientific institutions arriving onto US shores was the Smithsonian Institute. Having been around since 1846, it was the epitome of trust and solidarity. So, when the US government decided to decouple from the gold standard in 1971, a significant international agreement was negotiated. In December 1971, ten nations agreed to peg their currencies to the US dollar. This agreement was known as the [Smithsonian Agreement](#), as the agreement was signed at the Smithsonian Institute building in Washington DC.

The Smithsonian Institution had long been offered to the public as a trusted custodian of historical information. Maybe this was why the name of this landmark-agreement was chosen; to inspire public confidence.

Given the name of this historic event, the history of the Smithsonian Institute is worth looking at, given what we are revealing in terms of Enlightened Despotism.

Let us now bring a *British* chemist and geologist into the spotlight, whose final will and testament left a significant impact on the United States, and the entire western world.



[12](#)

James Smithson, the son of Hugh Smithson, the Duke of Northumberland, [was born in 1764 and willed his vast estate to Washington DC, founding a Washington NGO named the Smithsonian Institution:](#)

Our History

The Smithsonian Institution was established with funds from James Smithson (1765–1829), a British scientist who left his estate to the United States to found ["at Washington, under the name of the Smithsonian Institution, an establishment for the increase and diffusion of knowledge."](#) On August 10, 1846, the U.S. Senate passed the act organizing the Smithsonian Institution, which was signed into law by President James K. Polk.

Congress authorized acceptance of the Smithson bequest on July 1, 1836, but it took another ten years of debate before the Smithsonian was founded. Once established, the Smithsonian [became part of the process of developing an American national identity](#)—an identity rooted in exploration, innovation, and a unique American style. That process continues today as the Smithsonian looks toward the future.

[13](#)

A few questions immediately spring to mind:

- Why did a foreign scientist leave a vast estate to Washington for the purpose of increasing and controlling the diffusion of knowledge?

- How did a British-led scientific institute come to define an “*American National Identity*”?

On July 1, 1836, [Congress accepted the legacy bequeathed to the nation and pledged the faith of the United States to the charitable trust](#). In September 1838, Smithson's legacy, which amounted to more than 100,000 gold sovereigns, was delivered to the mint at Philadelphia. Recoined in US currency, the gift amounted to more than \$500,000, representing about 1.5% of the entire US budget at the time, meaning it was a serious chunk of change.

Smithson's Will provided that the final transfer of his estate would take place via his nephew:

James Smithson wrote a draft of his [Last Will and Testament](#) in 1826 in London, only three years before he died. He died on June 27, 1829, in Genoa, Italy, where he was buried in a British cemetery. The will left his estate to his nephew, Henry James Hungerford, and stated that if his nephew died without an heir, the money would go "to the United States of America,

[14](#)

At the time, there were serious questions being asked about this new institution, and it took another ten years of debate before the US Senate formally [founded the Smithsonian Institution on August 10, 1846](#).

Was this vast estate just a chance donation from a foreigner who had no children, or was it something more sinister? Congress and others in the US at the time, were asking the same question:

Smithson, the illegitimate child of a wealthy Englishman, had traveled much during his life, but had never once set foot on American soil. Why, then, would he decide to give the entirety of his sizable estate—which totaled half a million dollars, or 1/66 of the United States' entire federal budget at the time—to a country that was foreign to him?

[15](#)

Some Congress Members went as far as voicing concerns about a man who had never set foot on US soil, as well as the potential impacts on the future “rights” of the people; potentially opening the door to a ‘pay for play’ culture:

Congress nearly rejected Smithson’s gift.

Congress viewed a gift from a British citizen with suspicion, and also fretted that creating a new national institution might interfere with states’ rights. One senator complained that it would set a bad precedent, and “every whippersnapper vaga-bond would send a gift to the United States in order to immortalize his name.”

[16](#)

Whatever the intent behind the estate, the gift was accepted. The timing of Smithson’s estate coincided with an economic boom in Washington DC, resulting in the construction of new Federal buildings:

When
railroads reached the city in the 1830s, a flood of tourists came with them, as did a proliferation of congressional spouses, who forever changed Washington’s social scene. Major construction projects for three federal buildings located just blocks apart in Downtown Washington (the Department of the Treasury, the General Post Office, and the Patent Office [the last is now part of the [Smithsonian Institution](#)]) also began in the 1830s.

[17](#)



The Old Patent Office building – Circa 1900. [18](#)

[In some ways, the origin of the Smithsonian Institution can be traced to a group of Washington citizens who met on June 28, 1816, to establish the Columbian Institute for the Promotion of Arts and Sciences.](#) Members included John Quincy Adams, Andrew Jackson, Henry Clay, Judge William Cranch, and James Hoban. Honorary members included James Madison, James Monroe, John Adams, Thomas Jefferson, and the Marquis de Lafayette. Given that the Age of Enlightenment was sweeping the earth, new networks were emerging everywhere.

This existing local base of influence provided an avenue which would allow for significant expansion over time. Just as Frederick the Great covertly took control of the vast expression of human talent and endeavor taking place in Prussia, was the Smithsonian arrival opening the doorway for the same thing to occur in the US?

Adding weight to the above assertion, the 1846 Act of Congress to create the Smithsonian was just two years before the [Forty-eighters](#) arrived in the US. For those interested, the Forty-eighters was the name given to the migration of Prussian

scientists, business leaders and bankers into the US during 1848, which we detailed in [The Prussian Origins of the U.S. Federal Reichsbank](#). Famous names included Kuhn & Loeb, and Charles Pfizer. Again, was the timing of all this just a coincidence, or was a far bigger infiltration taking place?

We often focus on the influence that bankers, energy companies and manufacturers have on government, and for good reason. However, the arrival of the Smithsonian Institution powerfully illustrates how scientific communities and academia can also influence governments, even at the highest level. Did the Smithsonian arrival pave the way for the Enlightened Despots to infiltrate the United States? Was this the point in history that birthed the Scientific Industrial Complex?

Once large institutions become trusted, they can attract significant government funding. Today, we notice that international grants are commonplace for large NGOs and educational institutions. The influence that large scientific research organizations and universities have on society has become quite incredible.

What weighting do governments afford these global think-tanks in terms of defining what is “*trusted science*”? Does the size of the organization correlate with trustworthiness? Alternatively, who gets to define what ideas can be publicly discussed, and who is a “science-denier”?

In recent years, the main stream media has aggressively pushed the narrative of “*trusted scientists*” who are promoting their “*agreed science*”. Any other narrative is deemed to be a threat to the very survival of humanity. Notwithstanding that there were numerous health professionals screaming out to be careful of the Covid-vaccine push, they were obviously not a part of the *trusted* group of scientists. They received zero media airtime, unless they were being vilified.

[Many doctors were deleted from social media platforms for publishing what is now being shown to be true](#). Despite being trained medical professionals and scientists, they were labelled as “*science deniers*”, and savagely demonized in the public square.

This censorship went even further than just holding a dangerous opinion; doctors in most western countries who did not whole-heartedly embrace the “*agreed science*”

narrative, or who questioned the safety and efficacy of the Covid vaccines, [could lose their medical license](#).¹⁹

Who on earth was writing this insane script? While this is a good question, some are beginning to view this question as more like a statement, and are nodding in agreement: “Yes, the W.H.O. is writing this insane script!”

On 25 October 2023 WHO/Europe made several changes to its respiratory virus surveillance and data reporting systems. The COVID-19 Situation Dashboard played a pivotal role in providing essential information during the early stages of the pandemic. However, the landscape has now shifted, and so have data needs.

A new WHO/Europe COVID-19 Information Hub is replacing the previous COVID-19 Situation Dashboard to serve as a comprehensive resource, providing links to the most current health information, datasets and products concerning COVID-19.

Within the Hub, WHO/Europe and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)'s weekly European Respiratory Virus Surveillance Summary (ERVISS) displays integrated surveillance data for influenza, COVID-19, and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) in the WHO European Region, including the European Union (EU)/European Economic Area (EEA).

[20](#)

The ability of this scientific community to control public opinion and public policy is quite chilling. Its rise in influence over recent decades, begs the question: Are the enormous grants to these NGOs by corporations and governments truly advancing the *diffusion of knowledge*, or do they come with strings attached?

Suppressing truth:

Truth is a force of nature; it never halts its quest to rise to the surface. Whenever truth does threaten to become public, the invisible enemy uses the media to shield the public from it. This could be via media distractions, or via distorted reports, all aimed to deceive people about what the emerging information means.

Similarly, whenever a public enquiry is warranted, the Deep State tend to run their own parallel enquiry to clog the news cycle with their own highly-contextualized narrative. We saw an example of this in the shambolic January 6 Investigation in the United States in 2021.

Unsurprisingly, this playbook was being used sixty years ago. As the Church Commission was looking into the MKULTRA experiments we noted in Part 2, then Vice President, Nelson Rockefeller, and the embedded enemy created an alternate Commission to run along-side the Church Commission:

Subsequent to the decision in late April that a full investigation of the Ft. Detrick project was needed, all information uncovered was passed to the DCI, to staff members of the Rockefeller Commission and to the White House staff handling Intelligence Community investigation matters. In June, Senator Church was informed by Rod Hills of the White House that a sensitive activity was under investigation.

[21](#)

Whatever the intention behind the creation of the **Rockefeller Commission**, a broader audience had gained access to the emerging information. Was the Rockefeller Commission a clever plan to gather the intel, and leak it to the media, in order to then control the public narrative? The patterns are eerily similar to the January 6 Committee.

[22](#)


Hopefully, our research helps you understand the methods and mannerisms of this invisible enemy, so we can observe them today. We have adopted this approach so that we can get to know this invisible enemy, recognize how we've engaged with it, understand how it controls our mind, see how it influences social policy, and then finally, stand against its tyrannical rule.

Essentially, we are suggesting that we must stare down these Enlightened Despots and politely say “no” to their contract offerings. Once we withdraw our agreement to their mandates, there is no contract; meaning they have no legal jurisdiction over our choices. This is true freedom, and we can walk in it now.

This is how we overcome; this is how we win.

You cannot leave a captivity that you cannot see.

To be continued...

- 1 <https://www.minneapolisfed.org/about-us/our-history/history-of-central-banking>
- 2 <https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/05-829-Ukraine-Weapons.pdf>
- 3 Ibid.
- 4 <https://thehill.com/policy/international/3703558-russia-calls-for-un-security-council-probe-of-alleged-biological-labs-in-ukraine/>
- 5 <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2022/mar/11/russia-biological-weapon-claim-us-un-ukraine-bio-labs-explainer>
- 6 <https://www.cbsnews.com/news/greta-thunberg-un-speech-you-have-stolen-my-dreams-climate-activist-tells-climate-summit/>
- 7 <https://www.sbs.com.au/news/article/us-commentator-slammed-after-comparing-greta-thunberg-to-nazi-propaganda/8m9zqw0fr>
- 8 <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/11/heres-how-to-repair-the-damage-covid-19-has-done-to-science/>
- 9 <https://www.independent.co.uk/life-style/christmas/unvaccinated-family-members-christmas-how-to-cope-b1977260.html>
- 10 <https://www.theatlantic.com/family/archive/2021/12/unvaccinated-holiday-kids-adults/621017/>
- 11 Source:

(4:32 mins)
- 12 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Smithsonian_Institution
- 13 <https://www.si.edu/About/History>

- 14 <https://siarchives.si.edu/history/featured-topics/stories/james-smithson-founder-smithsonian-institution>
- 15 <https://www.si.edu/About/History>
- 16 <https://www.washingtonpost.com/express/wp/2015/12/02/5-weird-facts-about-smithsonian-founder-james-smithson/>
- 17 <https://www.britannica.com/place/Washington-DC/History>
- 18 <https://lostnewengland.com/2023/03/old-patent-office-building-washington-dc/>
- 19 <https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/digital-marketing/physicians-who-post-covid-19-vaccine-misinformation-may-lose-license-medical-panel-says.html>
- 20 <https://www.who.int/europe/emergencies/situations/covid-19>
- 21 <https://www.archives.gov/files/research/jfk/releases/178-10004-10087.pdf>
- 22 <https://archive.org/details/Rockefeller-commission-report-to-the-president-by-the-commission-on-cia-activiti>



65 Likes · 4 Restacks

9 Comments



Write a comment...



cgg Dec 21, 2023

It is amazing what we have not been taught. I applaud you sir.

♡ LIKE (1) 💬 REPLY ↗ SHARE



Grace Dec 21, 2023

Fantastic work here!

 LIKE (1)  REPLY  SHARE



7 more comments...

© 2024 Will Zoll · [Privacy](#) · [Terms](#) · [Collection notice](#)
Substack is the home for great writing