



Prussian Religious Edict of 1788



Will Zoll

Nov 6, 2021



The Religious Edict of 1788 is the Prussian declaration of divine rule, much like the 1302 Papal Bull, Unum Sanctam. They took responsibility as the “sovereign” over people within their dominion. This group were likely working in concert with the 1666 Sabbatai Zevi proclamation, as this Edict is created within 12 years of Adam Weishaupt founding a secret society (The Illuminati) on May 1, 1776.

Now the timing of this in respect to the US revolutionary war in 1776 is incredible. Furthermore, this Edict was also around the time the Crown began colonizing Australia and the Pacific Region in 1788! These self-appointed world leaders were getting very busy.

The edict bears the date of July 9, 1788.¹ In the introduction Frederick William II. explains his purpose :

Long before our accession to the throne we had observed and remarked how necessary it would one day be to endeavor after the example of our predecessors and particularly of our deceased grandfather to maintain and partly re-establish in the Prussian dominions the Christian faith of the Protestant Church, in its ancient and primitive purity, and to repress, as much as possible, infidelity and superstition, and by this means also the corruption of the fundamental truths of the Christian religion and the licentiousness of morals which is a consequence of it; and to give at the same time to our faithful subjects a convincing proof of what they have a right to expect from us as their sovereign in regard to their most important concern, that is to say, full liberty of conscience, their tranquillity and security in the persuasion which they have embraced, and in the faith of their fathers, as well as in respect to protecting them against all perturbators of their divine service and religious constitution; in consequence whereof, having now regulated the most urgent affairs of the state and made some necessary and beneficial new arrangements, we have not wished to defer a single moment the serious consideration of this other important duty, which our character of sovereign imposes on us, and to publish by the present edict, our immutable will on this subject.

¹ Text of the edict in *Publicationen aus den königlichen Preussischen Staatsarchiven*, LIII. 250-257; Mylius, *Nov. Corp. Const.*, VIII. 2175-2183; Rabe, *Sammlungen*, etc., vol. I., pt. VII., pp. 726-733.

(509)

Source link: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/1835188.pdf?refreqid=excelsior%3A7c00e6285452d08545a4fa58bf6a8d83>

This edict created such conflict that pastors were resigning and there were public protests!
The war was between those writing pamphlets and the Prussian media. This sounds familiar...

However, the scattered voices of the defenders of the new order were not able to quiet the alarm of those who felt themselves endangered by the new minister and his edict. Men who for decades had occupied great pulpits and had been a power in the land saw themselves exposed to petty persecution and unmerited disgrace. Some resigned at once in order to avoid such a period being put to their activities as teachers or preachers. Some boldly persisted and defended their views in protests and pamphlets.⁸

On a higher plane than the pamphlet war, which is chiefly important as an amazing revelation of the boldness and unscrupulousness of the press in Prussia on the eve of the Revolution, is the opposition made to the Wöllner measures by the Superior Consistory for the Lutheran and Catholic churches. In the framing of the new measure they had had no hand; but they did not propose to let the occasion pass without a conscientious effort to modify it, and to save what they thought was more important than creeds or doctrines—liberty of thought and scholarly investigation into truth and the distinctly Protestant right of each to accept the Scriptures as the standard of his faith and to interpret them as he understood them.

This edict is coming from a place of knowledge. He knew exactly what he was saying and the 'subjects' were not going to have a voice. This was the exact moment that the teachings of man carried the same authority as the bible; specifically, the New Testament. Recall, that the old Pharisees had 'locked up' the Old Testament in the same fashion. Jesus' teaching was dangerous because he didn't sit under the authority (or the yoke) of any 'anointed' teacher. The Deep State needed to bring the teaching of Christ under their authority in the same way. Free thinking, away from the establishment's narrative, will not be tolerated.

tions in theology to theologians with an international reputation.¹⁹ But the reproof was framed nevertheless. The Consistory was told in direct phrases that if they had done their duty there would be no such religious condition to deal with. The Consistory had lost their fight for true Lutheranism. The creeds of preceding centuries stood now by law on a plane with the Scriptures, or rather they replaced it, for it was the Bible as presented in the creeds that was henceforth to be taught. Theirs was however the satisfaction of having done the right as they saw it. "We have done what we thought advisable and our duty. Now we can and must remain silent."²⁰

One thing they did accomplish behind Wöllner's back. Through their representations to Chancellor Carmer the king was led to publish on December 19, 1788, a sort of supplementary statement, explaining that the edict was only a church police law, in which the king had no intention of placing the church creeds on the same plane of authority with the Scriptures. It was a hollow victory, for the king when prosecutions arose under the edict insisted that it was a binding law of the state. Indeed, Frederick William II. in the next four years, in his efforts to make clear his intentions in issuing the edict and in defending himself from the, to him, unexpected implications of his decree, seemed to be helplessly struggling in the grasp of a legal Frankenstein of his own creation.

The censorship machine of the day, moved into full gear:

of the press has seemed on the whole the most important and is reserved for an extended treatment later. It is sufficient here to remind ourselves that though censorship of the press was not new to a Prussia just out from under the absolutism of Frederick the Great, the censorship of Frederick,²⁵ with its chief interest in directing discussion away from political topics, was in spirit and intent not the censorship of Wöllner, which through the activity of such creatures as Hermes and Hillmer²⁶ was interfering with the printing of books and pamphlets in theology and philosophy—fields of thought dear to the German thinkers and writers—two groups not entirely identical as one may convince themselves by dipping into the pamphlet literature of that day.

As a result of the renewed censorship of the press the German public saw the great organ of the *Aufklärung*, Nicolai's *Allgemeine Deutsche Bibliothek*, forced to move to Hamburg by the activity of Wöllner, hitherto one of its chief contributors. The local publishers and authors were annoyed and exasperated by the method and spirit in which manuscripts were examined, blue-pencilled, and even rewritten by the censors. The book-dealers who would import from the great markets like Leipzig found their shipments examined for contraband works. Teachers, preachers, and university faculties were spied upon, and silenced far less by successful prosecution than by the spectre of government interference. Of the former there was

Incredibly, Fredrick William III defeated this dogmatic ideology, during his time. I suspect evil learned how they failed and moved onto debt slavery to create more distraction from these “damned free-thinkers”. His blueprint: Embrace the Socratic method of continual questioning and stop worrying!

In his [Münchhausen's] day there was no Religious Edict but more religion and less hypocrisy than now, and the Religious Department stood higher in the eyes of Germans and foreigners. Personally, I reverence religion and carefully obey its blessed precepts and would not for worlds rule over a people who had no religion. But I know also that it is and must remain an affair of the heart, of the feelings, and of individual conviction and may not be debased into a senseless mummery by methodical compulsion if it is to further virtue and righteousness. Reason and philosophy must be its inseparable companions, then it will stand by itself without the need of the authority of those who would presume to force their creeds upon future ages and prescribe to coming generations how they should always think. If in the management of your office you act according to genuine Lutheran principles which are so wholly in consonance with the spirit and teachings of their founder, if you see to it that the pulpits and teachers' desks are occupied by upright and capable men who have advanced with the knowledge of the day especially in exegesis without attaching themselves to dogmatic subtleties, you will soon see that neither mandatory laws nor admonitions are necessary in order to maintain true religion in the land and to extend its beneficent influence over the happiness and morality of all classes.⁴⁸

Prussia appears to be more a concept/ideology than a state. Initially, Prussia was an area occupied by tough pagan tribes. The Teutonic Knights were invited over to "tame" them. This took over 60 years, but eventually "order" was established from the "chaos". The Hohenzollern did not rule Prussia straight away, but had managed to survive and stay high within the ranks of Charlemagne Holy Roman Empire. This family-method of rule involved expansion predominantly through acquisition and treaty; crushing patriots and aspiring-nobility (which was essentially the middle-class of the day) within their lands to avoid uprisings. The Teutonic Order ran out of money and gave their right-of-rule of Prussian lands to the Hohenzollern. This resulted a merging of the Teutonic Knight Order (think Templars) and the Hohenzollern ideology of 'expansion through acquisition'. The Hohenzollern ideology now enjoyed the benefit of a Teutonic order-based military.

Both these Orders were about taming and crushing dissent from within. How is this best achieved? By enlisting its own citizenry and creating a professional standing army. This was something other rulers could not afford. Any internal dissent would be crushed & punished. That is the idea of Prussia and is exactly why they were labelled "not a state with an army, but an army that controls states". The patterns today are identical. The use of propaganda has created a citizenry that bows to the invisible enemy that enslaves them. Indeed, many desire to be "governed harder" and mock anyone who suggests that an invisible enemy even exists.

The evolution of Prussia would never have been about Germany itself, but building a strong base from which to dominate the world. This would explain Jesuits, Bavaria illuminati,

banking, corporations, organized religion and global governance such as the U.N., W.H.O. and the World Bank.

The pattern is consistent: The invisible enemy effectively is defeated in the public eye, in order to become invisible. While there are many off-shoots and other detail, this is a summary of the invisible enemy's take-over of humanity:

- Persia lost to Greece.
- Greece to Rome
- Rome to Charlemagne (Holy Roman Empire (HRE))
- HRE to Hohenzollern/ Prussia
- Prussia to Germany
- Germany to International Corporations/ USA/ Crown/ Fed Reserve Banking System

As a more-recent example, look at the US defeat of Germany in the 1940s. Look at the lunacy of Hitler's decision to go to war.

The declaration accused the United States of "overt acts of war against Germany" and therefore "declares that under these circumstances brought about by President Roosevelt, Germany too considers herself to be at war with the United States, as from today."

The American reaction was swift. That day, the United States Congress declared war on Germany at the behest of President Roosevelt who said: "Never before has there been a greater challenge to life, liberty and civilization."

Roosevelt, as U.S. Commander-in-Chief, therefore directed nearly 90 percent of America's military resources toward the defeat of Hitler, not Japan. As a result, the might of the world's largest industrial nation was turned against Nazi Germany.

For Hitler, declaring war on America would prove to be his biggest political mistake of the war – a truly lethal blunder. With the stroke of a pen he had greatly reduced the odds of Nazi Germany's survival. The Führer now had to contend with three principal opponents – America, Britain and Russia – whose combined reservoir of manpower and total war production capacity dwarfed Nazi Germany – at a time when Germany had already lost a quarter of all troops and many thousands of tanks and planes on the Russian Front.

Even worse, Hitler had foolishly joined Japan's war against America with absolutely no guarantee from the Japanese they would join his war against Russia. And they didn't. This allowed the Russians to transfer 30 well-trained Siberian divisions away from Japanese-threatened areas in southeastern Russia to the opposite side of the country, where they blasted away at the beleaguered Germans outside Moscow.

For Adolf Hitler, however, the consequences of December 11th were not readily apparent. By now, the Führer was seeing only what he wanted to see – recognizing only the facts he desired to support his own version of reality – his own truth.



Source: <http://www.historyplace.com/worldwar2/defeat/america-enters.htm>

It appears that the U.S. were victors, but the required infiltration had taken place decades earlier. In reality, the enemy was already printing the people's money for them! The invisible enemy had planning this, well before-hand. The people of the winning nation celebrated and become willingly compliant to their State, oblivious to the fact that they are empowering the invisible enemy, that had just infiltrated them and would destroy them at some point in the future. This same pattern occurred in the Revolutionary War of 1776 where the 'Crown' appeared to be defeated. Citizenry think in terms of nations, but the Prussian's are an army without a nation. The invisible hand would return soon after the Battle of Waterloo, dressed up like the Bank of England and the Rothschilds, placing them into debt slavery.

Spiritually, this tyrannical rule has served several purposes:

- crush the creative capacity of the human soul with creative capacity, in order to test how much humans truly desire to have a free-will;
- create a highly disciplined regime, which is critical for human survival during a low frequency, fear-driven period on earth;
- train us to experience duality (right and wrong) and conditional love, so that the experience of unconditional love has a richer colour and context;
- provide an exercise for our free-will to choose peace and love over evil;
- learn what tyrannical rule feels like, so that we learn how to be leaders who lead with wisdom and compassion.

Once we realise the presence of this old guard, it must be put away. To live with it would be to side with the agenda of evil. Consciously, we cannot live with evil once it is evident in our consciousness. This is why it is reasonable to suggest that the real sin that humans commit, is unconsciousness.

Subscribe to Prussia Gate

By Will Zoll · Launched 3 months ago

An invisible hand sits behind humanity, exerting control over the human will. This hidden virus scours the earth, looking for hosts who will adopt its ideology, and wage war against dissenters. You cannot leave a captivity that you cannot see.

Subscribe

♡ 6

💬 Comment

➦ Share



Write a comment...

Ready for more?

Type your email...

Subscribe

© 2022 Will Zoll. See [privacy](#), [terms](#) and [information collection notice](#)



Publish on Substack

Prussia Gate is on [Substack](#) – the place for independent writing